



## Annex 1

### PROFESSIONAL EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SELECTING THE PROGRAMME COMPONENT

For the purposes of this Call for Proposals, proposals will be evaluated on the basis of the evaluation criteria set out below. Evaluators will provide a brief narrative justification for each of the priority evaluation criteria to support the sub-scores awarded within each criterion.

The scoring scale of 1 to 5 for the relevant aspects of the criteria is as follows:

- 1- cannot be assessed;
- 2- weak;
- 3- medium;
- 4- good;
- 5- excellent.

In view of the two different sets of competences required to evaluate a proposal, two pairs of experts will evaluate the same proposal. One pair of experts consists of:

- a project management and financial evaluator and
- a professional, technical geothermal evaluator.

The selection of experts with the appropriate competences from the database of experts set up by the partner organisation is done randomly by the NCU (assigning an application number to the evaluator's number), and it is possible that the two experts evaluating the two competence areas of the pair of experts will be the same person.

#### **Eligibility criteria for the application:**

	Maximum score available	Minimum score to achieve *
Project management and financial evaluation	65	39
Geothermal technical assessment	95	57
Total:	160	96
* For each evaluation criterion, the minimum score indicated therein must be achieved, otherwise the application will be rejected.		

The project management and financial evaluator will assess the proposals according to the following criteria.



Evaluation criteria	Assessment, scoring methodology	Maximum score	Minimum score required for support	Short, textual justification
<b>1 General aspects</b>				
<b>1.1 Evaluation of the applicant organisation</b>	<i>Eligibility requires a minimum of 4 points</i>	<b>10</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>Short, textual justification</b>
1.1.1 <b>The financial stability of the organisation</b> applying to implement the planned programme component, based on the documents submitted.	1-5 on a scale of	5	2	
1.1.2 <b>Financial and management evaluation of the human resources planned by the applicant organisation for the implementation of the planned programme component</b> (e.g. the realistic number of staff planned, the professional competence of external experts, etc.)	1-5 on a scale of	5	2	
<b>1.2 Assessment of the applicant organisation's professional (technical, contractor, etc. - in the implementation of eligible activities I to V of the programme component) partner organisation<sup>1</sup></b>	<i>Eligibility requires a minimum of 4 points</i>	<b>10</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>Short, textual justification</b>
1.2.1 Financial stability of the partner organisation based on the documents submitted.	1-5 on a scale of	5	2	
1.2.2 <b>Financial and management evaluation of the human resources planned by the partner organisation during the implementation of the planned programme component</b> (e.g. the realistic number of planned staff, the professional competence of external experts, etc.)	1-5 on a scale of	5	2	
<b>1.3 Civil partner evaluation<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Eligibility requires a minimum of 6 points</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>Short, textual</b>

<sup>1</sup> The partner organisation will be evaluated by the evaluator in the same way as the applicant organisation, but its score will not be included in the total score of the proposal evaluation. However, if the score does not reach the minimum score required, the partner organisation will not be eligible for funding!

<sup>2</sup> In the case of several NGO partners, all the NGO partner organisations are evaluated by the evaluator. If the score achieved by any NGO partner does not reach the minimum score required, the application will not be eligible. In the case of more than one NGO partner, the partner with a score below the minimum required score will not be awarded a grant. The total number of points for the evaluation of the application will be calculated on the basis of the points of the NGO partner with the highest score.



				justification
1.3.1 <b>Evaluation of the partner organisation's human resources planned for the implementation of the programme component from a financial, management and administrative point of view (realistic staffing levels planned, professional competence of external experts, etc.)</b>	1-5 on a scale of	5	2	
1.3.2 The mandatory NGO partner has the necessary staff to carry out the planned eligible activity(ies) VI or can provide, by the time the grant agreement is signed and on the basis of the application documents submitted	1-5 on a scale of	5	2	
1.3.3 The feasibility and realism of the estimated budget for the planned NGO activity(ies) in the planned programme component.	1-5 on a scale of	5	2	
<b>1.4 Achieving the objectives of the Cooperation Programme and implementing its horizontal principles</b>	<i>Eligibility requires a minimum of 2 points</i>	<b>15</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>Short, textual justification</b>
1.4.1 The planned activities of the programme component will contribute to strengthening the social participation capacity of the people living in the area concerned:	<b>If the claim is true for the submitted application, you will be awarded 1 point. Eligibility requires a minimum of 1 point</b>	5	1	
- equally regardless of gender, age, health, affiliation, etc.	1 point	1		
- to compensate for the disadvantages of at least one identifiable social group.	1 point	1		
- ad hoc, short-term events and activities (occasional, lasting up to 5 days).	1 point	1		
- are able to mobilise at least one specific group of the population by organising a series of synergistic, ad hoc events or activities with a specific objective, for a maximum of 12 consecutive months from the date of the component's funding decision.	1 point	1		
- are able to mobilise at least one specific group of the population by organising a series of synergistic, ad hoc events and activities with a specific purpose, for a continuous period of 12 months from the date of the component's funding decision.	1 point	1		
1.4.2 Contribute to the sustainable development of the area concerned:	<i>If the claim is true for the application</i>	5	1	



	<i>submitted, you will be awarded 1 point. Eligibility requires a minimum of 1 point</i>			
- to improve the prospects for long-term economic growth.	1 point	1		
- to ensure/facilitate access to renewable energy for people in the area concerned.	1 point	1		
- to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	1 point	1		
- raise the environmental awareness of the people living in the area concerned.	1 point	1		
- to improve Hungary's capacity to cope with the impacts of climate change.	1 point	1		
1.4.3 Contribute to increasing the level of social security in the area concerned:	<i>If the part of the statement after the letter is true for the submitted application, the score assigned to the letter is awarded.</i>	5	-	
In implementing the programme component, it is planned to use local labour from the area concerned: a) are not planned, b) up to 10 people, on ad hoc assignments, for a maximum of 90 days, c) Over 10 people, on ad hoc assignments, for a maximum of 90 days, d) up to 10 persons on a contract for more than half of the planned implementation period; e) over 10 persons, with a contract for more than half of the planned implementation period, f) More than 5 people employed on a full-time contract for the duration of the project	a: 0 points b: 1 point c: 2 points d: 3 points e: 4 points f: 5 points	5		
<b>1.5 Assessing and managing the financial and management risks of the planned programme component</b>	<i>Eligibility requires a minimum of 4 points</i>	<b>10</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>Short, textual justification</b>
1.5.1 Identification of financial and management risk factors is relevant and complete, and quantification of the impact of risks without measures is adequate	on a scale of 1-5)	5	2	
1.5.2 The description of the risk mitigation measures is relevant, and the quantification of the impact of the risks without measures is adequate.	on a scale of 1-5)	5	2	



## 2 Timing and financial programming of activities I-V of the planned programme component<sup>3</sup>

2.1 Timing and financial programming of planned activities I to V		<i>Eligibility requires a minimum of 11 point to be reached</i>	15	6	Short, textual justification
2.1.1	The economic evaluation of the activity follows the guidelines described in Annex 5.	yes: 5 points; <b>no: 0 points</b>	5	<b>5</b>	
2.1.2	The realism and justification of the timetable (taking account of the sequencing of the various phases) and the time required to carry out the eligible activity in the planned programme component.	On a scale of 1-5.	5	2	
2.1.3	The feasibility and realistic nature of the estimated budget for the eligible professional activity in the planned programme component.	On a scale of 1-5.	5	2	
<b>TOTAL SCORE AVAILABLE:</b>			<b>65</b>		

<sup>3</sup> In the case of several eligible activities, the economic assessment must be carried out for all activities! A textual justification must be provided for the evaluation of each proposed activity!

In the case of an activity that cannot be evaluated from an economic viability point of view, the financial/management evaluator must assess whether the other conditions of the Call for Proposals, e.g. the need for at least 100 MFt without the support of the activity(ies) that failed the economic viability evaluation, are fulfilled. **If not, the application is not eligible.**

If, in the case of activity(ies) not meeting the economic criteria, the technical/management expert determines the eligibility of the application, the expert examining the geothermal technical aspects of the remaining activities must also examine whether the other objectives and indicators of the application can be met for the remaining (economic criteria) activities.



The geothermal technical expert will evaluate the applications on the basis of the following criteria.

Evaluation criteria	Assessment, scoring methodology	Maximum score	Minimum score required for support	Short, textual justification
<b>1. General aspects</b>				
<b>1.1 Evaluation of the applicant organisation</b>	<i>Eligibility requires a minimum of 8 points</i>	15	8	Short, textual justification
1.1.1 The applicant organisation has the necessary permits and authorisations to implement the programme component or is in the process of obtaining them <sup>4</sup> .	Yes:5 No:0	5	5	
1.1.2 <b>Evaluation of the adequacy of human resources planned for the technical implementation of the planned programme component (e.g. realistic staffing levels, professional competence of specialists, external experts, etc.)</b>	1-5 on a scale of	5	2	
1.1.3 Assessment of the applicant organisation's professional competence based on the presentation of previous references	1-5 on a scale of	5	1	
<b>1.2 Assessment of the professional (technical, contractor, etc. - in the implementation of eligible activities I to V of the programme component) partner organisation of the applicant organisation<sup>5</sup></b>	<i>Eligibility requires a minimum of 8 points</i>	15	8	Short, textual justification
1.2.1 The partner organisation has the appropriate permits and licences to implement the programme component	Yes:5 No:0	5	5	
1.2.2 <b>Assessment of the adequacy of the human resources planned for the technical implementation of the planned programme component to be implemented by the partner organisation (e.g. realistic staffing levels,</b>	1-5 on a scale of	5	2	

<sup>4</sup> The beneficiary may conclude a grant contract only after having obtained the necessary valid permits for the implementation of the project.

<sup>5</sup> The partner organisation will be evaluated by the evaluator in the same way as the applicant organisation, but its score will not be included in the total score of the proposal evaluation. If, however, the score does not reach the minimum score required, the partner organisation will not be eligible for funding!



professional competence of external experts, etc.)				
1.2.3 Assessment of the partner organisation's professional competence based on the presentation of previous references	1-5 on a scale of	5	1	
<b>1.3 Civil partner- professional, technical</b> <sup>assessment 6</sup>	<i>Eligibility requires a minimum of 2 points</i>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>Short, textual justification</b>
1.3.1 Added value of the implementation of the activity(ies) of the mandatory NGO partner organisation, based on the documents submitted (activity description, action plan, etc.).	1-5 on a scale of	5	2	
<b>1.4 Assessment and management of the professional, technical risks of the planned geothermal component of the programme</b>	<i>Eligibility requires a minimum of 4 points</i>	<b>10</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>Short, textual justification</b>
1.4.1 The identification of geothermal professional and technical risk factors is relevant and complete, and the quantification of the impact of risks without measures is adequate	1-5 on a scale of	5	2	
1.4.2 The description of the geothermal professional, technical risk mitigation measures is relevant, and the quantification of the impact of the risks with the measures in place is adequate.	1-5 on a scale of	5	2	
<b>1.5 Indicators</b>	<i>Eligibility requires a minimum of 3 points</i>	<b>10</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>Short, textual justification</b>
1.5.1 Reproducibility of the calculation of shoulder indicators	yes: 5 points; no: 1 point	5	1	
1.5.2 Accuracy of the textual description of the expected results and impacts	On a scale of 1 to 5;	5	2	
<b>2. Geothermal technical assessment of activities I-V of the planned programme component</b>				
<b>2.1 Location(s) where the proposed activity of the programme component is/are planned to take place</b>	<b>Eligibility requires at least 5 point to be reached</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>Short, textual justification</b>
2.1.1 The planned activity(ies) are located in the 3 target regions of the Cooperation Programme (Northern Hungary, Northern Great Plain, Southern Transdanubia).	yes: 5 points; no: 1 point	5	1	.

<sup>6</sup> In the case of several NGO partners, all the NGO partner organisations are evaluated by the evaluator A). If the score achieved by any NGO partner does not reach the minimum score required, the application will not be eligible. One of the several NGO partners that does not achieve the minimum score required will not be eligible for funding. The scores of the highest scoring NGO partner will be counted towards the total evaluation score.





2.1.2	The location of the planned activity is technically sound in the light of the site plan(s) submitted and the planned activity	On a scale of 1 - 5	5	2	
2.1.3	An assessment and evaluation of the environmental risks associated with the location of the proposed activity that may limit or otherwise affect the proposed activity.	On a scale of 1 to 5;	5	2	
<b>2.2 Technical content of each eligible activity of the proposed programme component <sup>7</sup></b>		<i>To be eligible, a minimum of 14 point to be reached</i>	<b>35</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>Short, textual justification</b>
2.2.1	The technical description and the information content of the application dossier and the supported activity comply with the instructions for filling in the application dossier and are technically sound on the basis of the technical design documentation provided.	On a scale of 1 to 5;	5	2	
2.2.2	The technical and technological solutions proposed for the implementation of the supported activity are technically sound and technically justified on the basis of the technical design documentation provided.		5	2	
2.2.3	Appropriateness of the technical and technological solutions proposed for the implementation of the supported activity, detailed description of the new equipment (technical parameters)		5	2	
2.2.4	The feasibility and reality of the proposed timetable and work plan for the implementation of the supported activity, i.e. an assessment of the extent to which the content and timetable are in line with the planned objectives.		5	2	
2.2.5	In carrying out the supported activity, the planned procurement/implementation will involve the use of materials and equipment meeting the technical requirements.		5	2	
2.2.6	Quality assurance of the implementation of the supported activity (existence of a responsible technical inspector, use of standards)		5	2	
2.2.7	Advanced solutions for the implementation of the supported activity.		5	2	
<b>2.3 Environmental impact of the eligible activity</b>		<i>Eligibility requires at least 2 point to be reached</i>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>Short, textual justification</b>

<sup>7</sup> In the case of several eligible Actions I to V, the evaluator will carry out an evaluation of all the actions. The total evaluation score of the proposal will be calculated on the basis of the highest scoring activity.  
However, if an action does not achieve the minimum number of points required for a grant, the action will not be eligible for a grant.





				ion
<b>2.3.1</b> whether the eligible activity will include procedures and measures to reduce the negative impact on the environment (e.g. waste management, noise and water protection, nature protection, etc.)	On a scale of 1 - 5	5	2	
<b>TOTAL SCORE AVAILABLE:</b>		<b>95</b>		